

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,563	07/30/2003	Alexander Aldred	F3313(C)	8653
201	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			NOLAND, KENNETH W	
	700 SYLVAN AVENUE, BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ENGLEWO			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,563	ALDRED ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth W Noland	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		th the correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	IN	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re  reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	(30) days will be considered timely. 'HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and the control of th	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		v the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	on priority under 35 LLS C & 4	110(a) (d) == (0
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gir priority under 35 0.6.6. § 1	119(a)-(u) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ani	olication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.
		·
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)
(PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-23-05</u> .	8) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/630,563

Art Unit: 3653

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-4,6,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over \*Oliveira et al in view of Mc Gill. Oliveira et al discloses a portable dispenser for edible products having a thermally insulated storage means to store packs (20) or cartridges of ice cream\*\*. The ice cream in the packs would be pushed out by a piston. The dispenser is mounted for front operation and for single handed operation. To provide that the pusher operation of the dispenser would be operated out side of the storage means and has a means to hold the packs (cartridge) would be obvious in view of the teachings of McGill which discloses in col 1 lines 11-23 and in figure 1 a holder to hold a pack or container(cartridge) and which utilizes a piston to push the product out from the container to provide a more stable dispensing of the product from the container. In regard to claim 8,to modify Oliveria et al's cartridges for a given shape as frusto - conical, would be obvious as a mere choice of structural design to so provide any desired shape for the cartridges. Finally, in regard to claims 6 and 7, Oliveira et al is also provide with a 'delivery means' as noted on page 3 lines 10-21 that delivers the ice cream through the arms to a cup. The arms are positioned below the backpack storage means shown in figure 1. In regards to claims 6 and 7 the, the recitation of "adapted" is not given any patentable weight in so much as this recitation mere recites a functional

Application/Control Number: 10/630,563

Art Unit: 3653

capability and is not a positive recitation of the function, within the meaning of "means – plus – function recitation.

Page 3

- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over \*Oliveira et al in view of Mc Gill\*\* as applied to claims 1-4 and 8\*\*\* above, and further in view of \*\*Mc Innes. To modify Oliveira et al's storage means for a cooling means would be obvious in view of the teachings of McIlles' use of the cooling means disclosed in column 3 lines 30-39 to effect a better storage means..\*
- 4. As noted in the rejection of paragraph 2 above, the recitation of "adapted" is not given any weight for a positive recitation of function within the meaning of means—plusfunction recitation. Should the "adapted" language be deleted in claims 1 and 6, then claim 6 would be considered allowed if rewritten in independent form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth W Noland whose telephone number is (703) 308-3200. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, each week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

š

Application/Control Number: 10/630,563

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

buse Nel stastor

Page 4

\*\*\*

KENNETH W. NOLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER